

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/505,328	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Dunston	1636	

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer Dunston

(2) David Clough

Date of Interview: 12 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5, 6 and 8.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jennifer Dunston/ Examiner, Art Unit 1636

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:01 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment to put claims 5-8 in proper dependent form was discussed. Approval for the Examiner's amendment was obtained. The typographical error in the ADS filed 8/23/2004 was discussed. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a supplemental ADS correcting the filing date of PCT/KR2002/002033.